PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

RFP# 2023-009

March 20, 2023			
Brian Davis			
Lose Design			
Hickory Flat Park Master Plan			
PSA - Standard			
009-2023-00			
Architectural Services			
180 days from NTP			
\$	43,250.00		
None			
SPLOST			
No (screen-print on file)			
Registration Not Required			
April 4, 2023			
	Brian Davis Lose Design Hickory Flat Park Master Plate PSA - Standard 009-2023-00 Architectural Services 180 days from NTP \$ None SPLOST No (screen-print on file) Registration Not Required		

Procurement Process:	Request for Proposals (RFP)			
Length of Advertising:	Standard (15+) days			
Source Selection Method:	Highest Proposal Scoring			
Fair Price Determination:	Adequate Price Competition			
Not Low Bid Award:	See Proposal Scoring Totals			
County Business:	No Identified County Businesses			
Submissions Received:	Vendors Contacted:	473		
RFP/RFQ Selection Criteria Used in Selection Process				

RFP/RFQ Selection Criteria Used in Selection Process			
Weight	Evaluation Criteria		
50%	Price		
20%	Similar Experience and Knowledge		
20%	Experience as a Team		
10%	Work Plan & Schedule		

Bidder/Proposing Business	Place of Business	Eva	Evaluated Price		
Lose & Associates, Inc. d.b.a. Lose Design	Lawrenceville, GA	\$	43,250.00	88.40	
TSW	Atlanta, GA	\$	49,900.00	81.81	
Maypop Collaborative	Commerce, GA	\$	42,160.00	73.46	
Perkins & Will	Atlanta, GA	\$	56,000.00	69.64	
Brandstetter Carroll, Inc.	Lexington, KY	\$	93,000.00	58.36	
Croy Engineering, LLC	Marietta, GA	\$	97,885.00	54.48	
KAISENCOLLABORATIVE Collaborative Design, Inc.	Tucker, GA	\$	65,000.00	47.22	

*Important Price Evaluation Notes

Cherokee County Procurement published RFP 2023-009 to 473 Firms of which 7 submissions were received. Each submittal was reviewed by evaluators from the Community Services Agency and Capital Projects. The two highest scoring Firms were invited to interview with the Evaluation Team. The Firm with the third highest combined score had a technical score 16 points less than the number one & two candidates and not considered technically viable. The number 1 & 2 Firm's interviews were excellent and yet did not provide any further differentiation between the two that would affect the scoring totals or rank. It is therefore our recommendation to award the project to Lose Design who was the responsive and responsible Firm that received the overall high score.

Other Important Considerations		

Area of Evaluation	Brandstetter Carroll, Inc.	Croy	Kaizen Collaborative	Lose & Associates	Maypop Collaborative	Perkins&Will	TSW	
Similar Experience and Knowledge								
	13.08	11.97	6.28	15.96	7.83	12.23	15.53	
Expereience as a Team								
	14.30	15.20	4.63	17.20	10.60	13.80	16.30	
	Work Plan & Schedule							
	5.89	5.78	3.89	6.49	5.03	5.98	7.74	
Technical Score	33.26	32.94	14.79	39.66	23.46	32.00	39.56	
Price Score Price + Technical	25.10 58.36	21.54 54.48	32.43 47.22	48.74 88.40	50.00 73.46	37.64 69.64	42.24 81.81	
Total Ranking: 5 6 7 1 3 4 2								
Total Ranking: Company Name:	Brandstetter Carroll, Inc.	Croy	Kaizen Collaborative	Lose & Associates	Maypop Collaborative	4 Perkins&Will	TSW	
Technical Rank Price Rank	3 6	4 7	7 5	2	6 1	5 4	3	